



BC Yukon Area 79

www.bcyukonaa.org

General Service Committee

PO Box 42114 • Vancouver, BC V5S 4R5 • Tel (604) 435-2181

MOTION 1

Motion:

Modify the Area 79 BC/Yukon Bidding and Hosting Guideline – Quarterlies and Assemblies to add Paragraph D.1.a. as follows: “For Districts that host an Area Quarterly or Assembly with a venue cost of less than \$8,000, Area 79 will pay the difference between the venue cost up to a maximum of \$8,000 toward the cost of providing brewed coffee at the venue where the Area Quarterly or Assembly will be held.”

History or Current Practices:

Currently, the Area 79 budget does not provide money toward the purchase of coffee.

If passed:

The hosting District will be able to purchase coffee for the event and thereby keep the cost of coffee for the attendees down to a reasonable selling price. It will also provide the Area 79 Treasurer with a more accurate cost when preparing the annual budget.

If not passed:

Nothing will change.

For further consideration:

This will encourage Districts to locate a venue with a cost lower than \$8,000. The price of coffee continues to increase – without help from Area 79, some Districts cannot afford to provide coffee at the event which will hamper their ability to be chosen to host an ASC event.

Motion Submitted by: District 62



MOTION 2

Area Service Committee
PO Box 42114
Vancouver, BC
V5S 4R5

604-435-2181 ☎

info@bcyukonaa.org ✉

<https://bcyukonaa.org> 🌐

Motion:

That Area 79 forward a proposed agenda item for consideration by the 78th General Service Conference to discontinue the Flag Ceremony at the International Conventions.

History or Current Practices:

As reported by the 76th General Service Conference Committee on International Conventions/Regional Forums (IC/RF), the history of the flag ceremony is not clearly documented. The first flag ceremony took place in 1975 at A.A.'s 40th anniversary celebration in Denver, Colorado. There was no Advisory Action from the Conference to add the flag ceremony, and no subsequent Advisory Action has occurred. Documentation of how the ceremony has changed over time is limited. However, since 1990, local Native or Indigenous people have typically opened the ceremony as lead flag bearers.

There was an agenda item submitted for the 75th General Service Conference to explore the inclusion of sovereign state flags in the flag ceremony. Subsequently, the Trustees IC/RF Committee found that the scope needed to widen to look at the entire format and to discuss changes, noting the following points:

- The ceremony requires comprehensive re-evaluation, not incremental adjustments.
- Clearer vision of unity
- Repeated concerns across past International Conventions that the flag ceremony is more about nationalism instead of A.A. unity throughout the world
- Focusing on showcasing the A.A. presence throughout the world and getting away from nationalism
- Production cut-off time has led to flags being left out, which has led to hurt feelings
- Criteria to carry a flag is based on physical address, even if person does not consider it to be their home country
- Any future proposal should include tight, consistent guidelines to ensure clarity and unity in presentation.

Current practice is to represent the flags of nations where A.A. is present at the International Convention. It includes approx. 85-95 flags and is estimated to take 20 minutes of the 2-hour programming at the Friday night Big Meeting. All flag bearers are chosen at random from the list of registrants. The flag bearer only needs to provide an address indicating they reside in the country being represented. They do not have to be a national of the that country, nor do they have to consider it to be their home country. Conversely, if a registrant resides in a country but uses a different address for registration purposes, their country is not included in the flag ceremony.

At the 2025 International Convention in Vancouver, BC, booing and derisive comments were heard from some attendees when certain countries were presented, while other countries' flags were greeted with loud cheers. There is concern that the flag ceremony fosters nationalism and causes division in A.A., rather than unity.

If passed:

The International Convention would no longer include a flag ceremony. As suggested by the IC/RF Committee, other ways of highlighting A.A.'s presence throughout the world, with an emphasis on unity, could be designed with a focus on the reach of A.A.'s lifesaving message, instead facing continued issues of nationalism and controversy.

If not passed:

We would continue the tradition of the flag ceremony and continue to confront issues of who is and is not included, as well as the disunifying concept of nationalism at the International Convention.

For further consideration:

After the 76th General Service Conference, the IC/RF Conference Committee report welcomed the possibility of areas, through their delegates, being invited to provide feedback from the Fellowship on the flag ceremony for consideration. This feedback is the outcome of the Area 79 pre-conference assembly committee breakout discussion. Submitting a proposed agenda item from Area 79 will ensure that our feedback and proposal are included in future discussions on the flag ceremony.

Motion submitted by:

Area 79 Grapevine Committee

MOTION 3

BC/Yukon Area 79

Motion

That the phrase “cunning, baffling and powerful” (from the Big Book page 58) be reinstated in the Plain Language Big Book (PLBB) on page 64 and the phrase “complicated, tricky, and difficult” be removed.

History or Current Practices

The "cunning, baffling and powerful" description of alcohol from the Big Book has been a familiar cornerstone of many or most AA meetings, heard during the reading of How It Works.

"Cunning" implies a deceptive intelligence in alcohol. This has been foundationally important for many newcomers to understand the true nature of alcoholism.

"Baffling" conveys that alcoholism defies logic. This is inconsistent with both "complicated" and "tricky," which call out for more logic. Before embracing the 12 Steps, many alcoholics tried using logic to combat alcoholism over and over again, to no avail.

If Passed

The iconic phrase “cunning, baffling and powerful” will be restored, and the nuanced wisdom about the nature of alcoholism contained in these 3 adjectives will be conveyed to newcomers.

If Not Passed

The translation in the Plain Language Big Book (PLBB) replaces “cunning, baffling and powerful” with "complicated, tricky, and difficult" which loses key insights about alcoholism described above and risks imparting a misleading characterization of alcoholism to the newcomer described below.

For Further Consideration

A cryptic crossword is "complicated, tricky and difficult," but it can be figured out! It will yield to the force of will, logic and brainpower; it can be solved. And this is entirely inconsistent with Step One. Alcoholism can't be figured out or solved. Relying on willpower and intellect is fatal for alcoholics like us.

Our collective experience and our common solution is primarily spiritual, not logical. We have found that surrender to and a relationship with a Higher Power is what unlocks recovery.

We don't believe the words “cunning” or “baffling” are inaccessible to newcomers. However, if the professional translators disagree, we would be keen to hear what other wording might be used to address our concerns.

Motion submitted by District 18 on August 11th, 2026



MOTION 4

Area Service Committee
PO Box 42114
Vancouver, BC
V5S 4R5

604-435-2181 ☎

info@bcyukonaa.org ✉

<https://bcyukonaa.org> 🌐

Motion:

That the BC/Yukon Area 79 YouTube channel be made publicly accessible and available for subscription, with all content curated by the Area 79 Public Information Committee and with oversight by the Area Service Committee.

History or Current Practices:

The BC/Yukon Area 79 YouTube channel is currently set to private and is primarily used as a storage platform for large video content for internal use for the BC/Yukon Area. It currently has no public access or use.

If passed:

The channel could be used for purposes such as amplifying our local twelfth step message of recovery, providing accurate information about what A.A. does and does not do, providing accurate points of local contact for Alcoholics Anonymous and directing those seeking help or more information to the BC/Yukon Area 79 Website and local district websites, including local meeting information.

If not passed:

The channel will continue to be limited to a storage platform for large video files, and an opportunity to have an Area 79 presence and reach alcoholics who are still suffering would be missed on one of the largest digital platforms where they increasingly seek information, connection, and hope.

For further consideration:

The Area 79 PI Committee and the Area 79 ASC would be responsible to ensure that all material published on the channel align with the Twelve Traditions of Alcoholics Anonymous and the General Service Office Internet Guidelines. A BC/Yukon Area 79 publicly accessible YouTube channel populated with local content would not only serve as a helpful Public Information Tool but would also benefit CPC applications, provide a platform for accessibility use; for example, ASL translation of local content and serve as a platform to access Area 79 literature in audio format. According to YouTube, in Canada 81% of viewers report using YouTube to gather information and/or expand their knowledge on a particular interest.

Motion submitted by: Panel 75 Area 79 Public Information Committee

MOTION 5

Motion:

“That any changes to BC/Yukon Area 79 guidelines or procedure documents be presented as a motion by the Area Service Committee (ASC) for approval by the Area 79 voting body.”

History or Current Practices:

In 2016, a motion (#6) carried, stating: “That Area 79 give our General Service Committee (GSC) [now the ASC] permission to change all BC/Yukon Area guideline and/or procedure documents by vote at a General Service Committee meeting and report the results to the fellowship.”

Since 2016, this motion has been referenced as the moment broad delegated authority was provided to the ASC to make changes to these documents which impact how we conduct area business and without requiring any consultation or presentation of the proposed changes to the Area 79 assembly for approval.

While there is a reporting requirement for any changes decided at the ASC meetings, the recourse for the fellowship would be to bring motions forward to make other changes, which may poorly utilize assembly time, and disunify the area body.

This change has resulted in a separation of the ASC from the broader Area 79 voting body, as well as separation of the GSRs and DCMs from our guidelines and procedures.

There is a lack of clarity surrounding defined scope and limitations of this ‘permission’, such as which documents (new or existing) it may apply to, as well as the extent of changes permitted. While we trust our trusted servants, Concept X cautions authority should always be well defined, which is lacking in this practice, while displacing responsibility of the documents which ought to sit with the entire area utilizing them. The current practice may place the ASC in a position of unqualified authority, perceived or actual, which is not good for the area as a whole. It also presupposes that the best ideas come from the ASC, when it can come from anywhere.

Greater involvement in the process of changes being made to these documents has the potential to increase familiarity of trusted servants with these documents and procedures, thus fostering leadership in the Area and informed discussions and decision making as a whole.

This motion strives to put the responsibility and authority back in the hands of the groups of Area 79, as well as permitting the fullest participation possible by our GSRs and DCMs, as defined in our Concepts, thus unifying and better informing our area in future panels.

If passed:

Any suggested changes to the Area 79 guidelines or procedure documents may be presented by the ASC as a motion at the next Area assembly, returning the responsibility and authority to the voting body of Area 79. This may foster a stronger mutual understanding of processes and

requirements, and it is likely that better understanding and engagement will result in greater participation.

If not passed:

The changes made in 2016 will stand, leaving the ASC with permission to make any/all changes to Area 79 guidelines and procedure documents and report changes but not requiring any involvement or approval of the changes by the Area voting body. This may continue to result in confusion, lack of procedural familiarity, engagement and participation.

For further consideration:

At the July 2026 Assembly, new voting procedures were presented, followed by lengthy discussion and adoption. This example demonstrates the interest of the fellowship being involved in the process, aware of changes, and having full ownership of them. The new voting procedures did not require a motion, however, based on the 2016 change; the ASC could have simply made the changes and reported them to the groups.

The current practice may place the ASC in a position of unqualified authority, perceived or actual, which is not good for the area as a whole. It also presupposes that the best ideas come from the ASC, when they can come from anywhere.

The Concepts still provide enough delegated authority to the ASC without this 'permission' granted, whereby they can bring suggestions to us for discussion and approval if appropriate. We still trust our trusted servants.

Motion submitted by:

District 43

MOTION 6

Motion:

“That the pamphlet *The “God” Word: Agnostic and Atheist Members in A.A.* (P-86) be updated to include sharing from alcoholics with harmful religious experience as a barrier to accessing and participating in AA and who have found sobriety through the 12 Steps.”

History or Current Practices:

The “God” Word pamphlet is described as, “ten agnostic/atheist members share their experience of finding meaningful recovery in A.A., showing there is room in the Fellowship for believers and non-believers alike”. There appears to have been no changes to the pamphlet since its adoption in 2018.

This motion is not about whether AA endorses or opposes religion. It is simply about making identification possible for more alcoholics, thereby making AA more accessible. That by seeing their experience reflected in our literature, they may say “Yes, that happened to me; or more important, ‘Yes, I’ve felt like that’; or, most important, “Yes, I believe this program can work for me too.” (*Alcoholics Anonymous*, p.xii).

The pamphlet’s first sentence states that “AA is not a religious organization”, taken directly from the Forward of our book *Alcoholics Anonymous*. Unfortunately, preconceptions remain that AA is religious or even a cult. While many have arrived in AA, happy to find this is false, real implications remain for individuals who have a drinking problem and have experienced harm in the name of religion, as they struggle to reconcile this with the spiritual aspects of our recovery program.

From AA’s earliest days, barriers to accepting a spiritual solution and higher power were recognized as real and unique challenges for members. *We Agnostics* addresses the atheist and the agnostic member directly at length regarding their potential barriers to accepting a spiritual solution. The 12&12 goes further, stating, “sometimes A.A. comes harder to those who have lost or rejected faith than to those who never had any faith at all [...]” (*12 Steps & 12 Traditions*, p.28). *The “God” Word* has been a valuable resource in addressing this barrier offering personal identification and showing membership is possible for the atheist and agnostic.

However, a review of AA literature suggests there may be limited material specifically addressing harmful religious experiences as a barrier to recovery. Therefore, there exists an opportunity to reach this alcoholic in a meaningful way by sharing stories of members who have already found a way to recover in AA, despite this barrier. And, since these barriers are often similar to those addressed by atheists and agnostics, regarding spiritual language and concepts, this pamphlet may be an appropriate vehicle for sharing such experience.

If passed:

Actual and potential AA members with harmful religious experiences may find their experience reflected in *The “God” Word*, which may reduce barriers to them accessing, participating, and recovering in AA.

If not passed:

The “God” Word Pamphlet would remain unrevised and the actual or potential AA member with harmful religious experience may not find their experience reflected in our literature, and thus continue to experience barriers to accessing, participating, and recovering in AA.

For further consideration:

Over the years, AA literature has been published and updated to address emergent and unique needs of various groups within our membership for the purposes of identification. For example, stories by and for veterans in AA, young people, women, the LGBTQ+ alcoholic, those with mental health or medication needs, and most recently, the *Indigenous People in AA (P-21)*. In a similar way, this change could, “represent the current membership of AA more accurately, and thereby to reach more alcoholics” (*Alcoholics Anonymous*, p.xii).

With broader membership today, we also have the value of broader members' experience to draw from, to show first-hand how harmful religious experiences do not need to be a barrier to recovery in AA.

Should this proceed, it is worth noting that the General Service Board has the benefit of Class A non-alcoholic Trustees who come from an array of professional backgrounds whose experience has become invaluable for pamphlets like this one, and including others such as *The A.A. Member – Medication and Other Drugs (P-11)*, *A.A. for Alcoholics with Mental Health Issues – and their sponsors (P-11)*, and others. These professionals, and friends of AA, have proved to be valuable resources to ensure that any revisions are both appropriate to our goal in reaching those we wish to help while remaining true to our AA message and principles.

Motion submitted by: District 43



Area Service Committee
PO Box 42114
Vancouver, BC
V5S 4R5
604-435-2181 ☎
info@bcyukonaa.org ✉
<https://bcyukonaa.org> 🌐

MOTION 7

Motion:

That Area 79 reverts back to the previous version of the Minority Opinion in the Voting Procedures, namely:

The Chairperson invites those voters who are against the outcome of the vote to speak. Once the minority voice has been heard, the question will be asked: “Does anyone from the majority wish to change their vote?” If this question is responded to in the affirmative, by a show of hands, by one or more members. The vote is taken again.

History and Current Practices:

History:

Prior to July 2026 Area 79 Assembly, Area 79 had operated under the previous procedure for many years with much unanimity and effectiveness. Often, a unique ‘Spiritual Experience’ was sensed in the room when this format was followed, authenticating the statement: “Very often a Higher Power is found in the still, small voice of the minority”.

Current Practice:

After sufficient minority voice has been heard, the Chairperson can suggest that a voting member from the prevailing side of the motion may at this time wish to make a motion to reconsider. The chair has delegated authority and autonomy to decline the request in a loving way.

For Further Consideration:

Under the current practice, the Chairperson doesn’t automatically ask the voting members if they’d like to change their vote, after hearing the minority opinion but rather the Chairperson **can** suggest that a voting member from the prevailing side **may** wish to make a motion to reconsider. The Chair also has **delegated authority and autonomy to decline** the request in a loving way.

This gives the Chair (not necessarily the Fellowship) the option to enter into a whole new procedural, and confusing, layered, aspect with regard to **Motion to Reconsider**. The original motion is debated again, which seems redundant, unnecessary, time consuming and confusing.

If passed:

The Chair continues to ask if someone from the minority would like to speak and after sufficient minority voice is heard, the voting members on the prevailing side are asked if anyone wishes to change their vote. If one or more members answer in the affirmative, a new vote is taken and the matter is closed.

It also allows, as stated in the ad hoc committee preface, Area 79 to remain a Spiritual Entity whose purpose is to support better and *more effective* Twelve Step work.

If not passed:

A whole new procedure is invoked, at the discretion of the chair, and the entire matter is debated again, with another 'Call for the Vote', after much more unnecessary additional time, supposedly, hearing the same points of view.

Motion submitted by: Lucky People Group, District 43